DATE: <u>March 7, 2011</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

| | DIST | RICT OF ARIZONA |
|---|--|---|
| UN | IITED STATES OF AMERICA | |
| | V. | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
| | Jose Fonseca-Saldana | Case Number: <u>11-02508M-001</u> |
| and was repre | e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prepo ant pending trial in this case. | 42(f), a detention hearing was held on March 7, 2011. Defendant was present onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention |
| I find by a pre | ponderance of the evidence that: | FINDINGS OF FACT |
| | • | United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. |
| \boxtimes | | arged offense, was in the United States illegally. |
| × | | |
| | The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona. | |
| | The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. | |
| \boxtimes | The defendant has a prior criminal history. | |
| | The defendant lives/works in Mexico. | |
| | The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. | |
| | There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. | |
| | The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. | |
| | The defendant is facing a maximum | of years imprisonment. |
| The Cat the time of | Court incorporates by reference the mate the hearing in this matter, except as not | rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court ed in the record. |
| | С | ONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| 1. | There is a serious risk that the defendant | dant will flee. |
| 2. | No condition or combination of condition | tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. |
| | DIRECTION | ONS REGARDING DETENTION |
| a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S | facility separate, to the extent practicable defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the | the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in , from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court e Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. |
| | APPEALS | AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE |
| | | detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District |
| Services suffices | FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian. | o a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and |
| | | |

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge